

ELECTRONIC – ONLINE MEETING

NEXT COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND EDUCATION (CEEC) MEETING:

ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCING

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE (CEEC) MEETING MINUTES

THURSDAY, MAY 20, 2021 – 5:00PM

ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCING

ELECTRONIC – ONLINE MEETING

ATTENDEES/ROLL CALL

COMMISSIONERS	PRESENT	ABSENT	COMMITTEE MEMBERS	PRESENT	ABSENT
Blocker, Lakisa		X	Gray, Robert		X
Coker, Sharon	X		Hein, Krista		X
Cox, Derrick (Strawberry)	X				
Foreman, Lynn		X			
Massie, Jenné	X				
Rhodes, Stefanie		X	COMMUNITY PARTNERS/GUESTS	PRESENT	ABSENT
Sain, Philip	X		Driver, Antonio	X	
Uyouko, Haris	X		Hunter, Brandy	X	
Washington, Antonio	X		Tiffany	X	
			Has, Priscilla	X	
			Ollinger, Joshua	X	
RYAN WHITE RECIPIENT STAFF	PRESENT	ABSENT	CONSULTANTS	PRESENT	ABSENT
HAHSTA STAFF	PRESENT	ABSENT	COMMISSION SUPPORT STAFF	PRESENT	ABSENT
Pettigrew, Ken	X		Bailey, Patrice	X	
Johnson, Alan	X		Clark, Lamont	X	

HIGHLIGHTS



NOTE: This is a draft version of the May 20, 2021, Community Engagement and Education Committee (CEEC) Meeting Minutes, which is subject to change. The final version will be approved at the June 17, 2021, meeting.

AGENDA

ITEM	DISCUSSION
Call to Order	Jenné M. called the meeting to order at 5:05 pm, followed by a moment of silence and introductions.
Review and Adoption of the Agenda	Sharon C. motioned to adopt the agenda for May 20, 2021. Haris U. seconded. The agenda was adopted unanimously.
Debrief of April 15, 2021, Community Listening Session	<p>Jenné indicated that the committee conducted a virtual Community Listening Session (CLS) in April. There were 9 people in attendance that were unaffiliated. It was a good mix of participants from all three jurisdictions: (5) five from Virginia, two (2) from DC and (2) two from Maryland).</p> <p>Some discussions were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing, and having dedicated housing for the aging community. The participants discussed the importance of providing a place where people feel accepted. There may be a program opening soon in DC that models after a Philadelphia program. COVID 19 and how it affected medical insurances and the barriers it presented in seeking and obtaining all medical services, not just HIV or COVID. Participants thought the virtual platform was a good way to continue conducting community listening sessions. However, there was some concern that it was not exclusive to people with HIV/AIDS but open to everyone. Derrick C. indicated that there were participants who suggested that the COHAH start a HIV participation group. Lamont C. indicated that previously, there was a consumer only group that was very vocal, but as time progressed attendance waned, and effectiveness and efficiency waned as well. To avoid being pigeonholed to just a consumer group, HAHSTA decided that once the new integrated body was formed, the consumer only group would discontinue and a new committee, now known as the Community Engagement and Education Committee (CEEC), would be formed to include consumers. It was a way to encourage people to be actively involved and engaged in the process of setting service standards, financials, and research. <p>Jenné asked if the thinking about the consumer group was a sort of therapeutic group. Ken indicated that it may be of some interest to the community engagement staff at HAHSTA who may provide the space to listen quarterly. It could also be placed as part of the 1906 engagement work. Jenné indicated that it is worth a separate conversation later so that there are no barriers for people to engage in groups and suggested putting a pin in it until another meeting.</p>



	<p>Jenné asked if there is something CEEC needs to do to follow up on the information obtained at the CLS. Lamont indicated that the information will be presented to the different committees. Some will be relevant to the CPC to look at for their data request, some is relevant for REC to feed into the needs assessment, some for ISC to consider with service standards and some information will inform some of the questions and decisions for the PSRA when it comes to setting priorities and allocating resources.</p> <p>Jenné asked if the CLS should continue using the same format. She indicated that there were only 9 participants that were unaffiliated with COHAH out of 20 plus that registered. Jenné believed the attendance was so low because it was too broad (not around any particular group or particular topic) and asked about future CLSs and if there should be particular umbrella topic areas to pull in people's interest and to get further engagement with the session in the absence of incentives that were used previously as a draw, (i.e. Food).</p> <p>Priscilla D. asked how information about the CLS is disseminated and offered to distribute any CEEC flyers throughout the Food and Friends network. Lamont indicated that the flyer is distributed amongst the COHAH members and their individual networks, and Eventbrite. However, he does not believe using Eventbrite adds any value but rather adds an additional step.</p> <p>Alan J. asked if subject matter experts attend the CLS. Jenné said typically, subject matter experts have not been scheduled to attend. CEEC has asked Sarcia Adkins of Housing Counseling Services to attend because of the consistent topic on housing discussed in the past. Having experts attend may be something to change for future listening sessions.</p> <p>Jenné asked everyone to come to the next CEEC meeting with ideas on how to move forward. Some of the questions to consider are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should there be a focus on particular groups or header topics? • Who should these groups be? • Should the decision be data driven or should the COHAH be polled? • Should a 3rd party site be used to distribute information? <p>Joshua O. asked if there is funding for paid ads. Lamont indicated that there would be a lot of hoops to jump through to have that done but it is not off the table. If it were approved, it would have to be on a mainstream site. Lamont also urged CEEC to think about how they want to measure success. Is it based on the number of attendees or how informative and robust the conversation?</p>
<p>CEEC 2021 Workplan Update</p>	<p>Jenné asked if there is a deadline for submitting the work plan. Patrice B. indicated that the workplan is an ongoing, living document. Lamont indicated that there are committees that will have deadlines on things like PSRA, needs assessment, and services standards because they are federally mandated activities. However, there are no mandates for community wide activities.</p>



	<p>Ken P. talked about the DMV history project. He described the project as a two-part effort on how to memorialize sites that have been impactful in terms of ending the epidemic and the journey (i.e., The Women’s Collective original location on Rhode Island Ave., and Us Helping Us’ original location in SE, DC). The project works to memorize them and get them labeled as historic sites. The second part are people who want to continue telling the story of that journey. Some of the rich conversations that come out of this may be informative to CEEC (i.e., what it looks like to have10 individual conversations with men who have been HIV+ for 20 years). Ken believes it sits well with the work CEEC is doing. Ken is working with Baltimore with the story telling. The project is also used to train people like members of CEEC to interview people and get the stories that will also enrich the conversation we are having. Sharon agrees that it is a great opportunity. Jenné suggested that those who are interested in receiving the training should put their names in Basecamp.</p>		
Announcements and Adjournment	<p>Lamont announced that a poll would be sent to determine which social media platform works best for the meeting.</p> <p>Lamont further announced that HAHSTA staff is moving back into the office. Some managers have already returned, and the entire staff will return by July 12th. Once in the office it will be determined if meetings will continue virtually or in-person. A second poll will be sent out, maybe as soon next Friday after the General Body meeting.</p> <p>Haris indicated that he moved to Baltimore from Montgomery County, which takes him out of the EMA. Therefore, he may have to be considered a public member. Lamont indicated that his term has expired anyway. Therefore, his participation in further meetings will be as a community partner.</p>		
HANDOUTS			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• May 20, 2021, Community Engagement and Education Committee (CEEC) Meeting Agenda• March 18, 2021, Community Engagement and Education Committee (CEEC) Meeting Minutes			
MEETING ADJOURNED	6:47PM	NEXT MEETING	Thursday June 17, 2021 5:00pm to 7:00pm TEAMS CONFERENCE AND VIDEO CALL